TRICT COURT, CITY & COUNTY OF DENVER, fATE OF COLORADO  1437 Bannock Street, Denver, CO 80202  Plaintiffs: ANGELICA REY, AYLA BERSAGEL, BAILEY ALEXANDER, MARK BESS, WILLIS SMALL, IV, and YEKALO WELDEHIWET  v.  Defendant: BRANDON RAMOS		DATE FILED October 17, 2025 4:11 PM
		Case Numbers: 23CV30724 23CV31214 24CV30229 Courtroom: 269
	VERDICT FORM	
ave all agreed:		
ave all agreed:  Question 1:  nder Instruction No	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  5. 8?  Yes No	preponderance of the evidence, battery
Question 1:  nder Instruction No	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a	REY preponderance of the evidence, battery
Question 1:  nder Instruction No	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  b. 8?  Yes No  for Ms. Rey, please proceed to question	REY preponderance of the evidence, battery as 2 and 3. If you answer "No," your
Question 1:  nder Instruction No.  You answer "Yes" erdict is for Defende	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  1. 8?  Yes No  Yes No	REY  preponderance of the evidence, battery  as 2 and 3. If you answer "No," your  prove, by a preponderance of the
Question 1:  nder Instruction No.  You answer "Yes" erdict is for Defende	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  8.8?  Yes No  for Ms. Rey, please proceed to question ant on Plaintiff Angelica Rey's claim.  Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos	REY  preponderance of the evidence, battery  as 2 and 3. If you answer "No," your  prove, by a preponderance of the
Question 1:  nder Instruction No.  Tyou answer "Yes"  erdict is for Defende  Question 2:  ridence, his affirma	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  1. 8?  Yes No  Yes No	preponderance of the evidence, battery as 2 and 3. If you answer "No," your prove, by a preponderance of the tion No. 14?
Question 1:  nder Instruction No  you answer "Yes" erdict is for Defenda Question 2: vidence, his affirma	PLAINTIFF ANGELICA  Did Plaintiff Angelica Rey prove, by a  1. 8?  Yes No  You for Ms. Rey, please proceed to question and on Plaintiff Angelica Rey's claim.  Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos ative defense of self-defense under Instructive defense of self-defense under Instructive Mo	preponderance of the evidence, battery as 2 and 3. If you answer "No," your prove, by a preponderance of the tion No. 14?

If you answered "Yes" to Question 2 or 3, your verdict is for the defendant and against the Plaintiff Angelica Rey on her claims of battery, and you should skip to Question 5. If you answered "No" to Question 2 and 3, proceed to Question 4.

Question 4:	What amount of compensatory damage	ges, if any, does the jury award Plaintiff
Angelica Rey for each	h of the following categories:	
a. Econo	omic Losses	\$ 145,000
b. Non-I	Economic Losses:	\$_1,500,000
c. Perma	anent impairment or disfigurement:	\$_1,750,000
	PLAINTIFF AYLA BERS	SAGEL
Question 5:	Did Plaintiff Ayla Bersagel prove, by	a preponderance of the evidence, battery
under Instruction No.	8?	La participation of the second
	Yes No	
If you answer "Yes" your verdict is for De	for Ms. Bersagel, please proceed to quefendant on Plaintiff Ayla Bersagel's cla	uestions 6 and 7. If you answer "No," aim.
Question 6:	Did the defendant, Brandon Ramo	s, prove, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmat	tive defense of self-defense under Instru	action No. 14?
	Yes No	
Question 7:	Did the defendant, Brandon Ramo	s, prove, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmation	tive defense of justification under Instru	action No. 16?
	Yes No	
If you answered "Yes Ayla Bersagel on her Question 6 and 7, pro	claim of battery, and you should skip	r the defendant and against the Plaintiff to Question 9. If you answered "No" to
Question 8:	What amount of compensatory damage	ges, if any, does the jury award Plaintiff
Ayla Bersagel for each	ch of the following categories:	
a. Econo	omic Losses	\$ 6,000
b. Non-I	Economic Losses:	\$ 1,000,000
c. Perma	anent impairment or disfigurement:	\$_100,000

## PLAINTIFF BAILEY ALEXANDER

Question 9:	Did Plaintiff Bailey	Alexander prove, by a	preponderance of the evidence,
battery under Instruction No. 8?			
	Yes	No	
If you answer "Yes" fo your verdict is for Def	or Ms. Alexander, plea Gendant on Plaintiff Ba	ase proceed to questions ailey Alexander's claim.	10 and 11. If you answer "No,"
Question 10:	Did the defendant,	Brandon Ramos, prov	e, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmati		Gense under Instruction N	To. 14?
	Yes	No _	
Question 11:	Did the defendant,	Brandon Ramos, prov	e, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmative defense of justification under Instruction No. 16?			
	Yes	No _	
If you answered "Yes' Bailey Alexander on h to Question 10 and 11	' to Question 10 or 11, er claims of battery, a	your verdict is for the de nd you should skip to Qu	efendant and against the Plaintiff estion 13. If you answered "No"
Question 12:	What amount of con	npensatory damages, if a	ny, does the jury award Plaintiff
Bailey Alexander for	each of the following	categories:	
a.	Economic Losses		\$ 30,000 \$ 1,250,000
b.	Non-Economic Loss	ses:	\$ 1,250,000
c.	Permanent impairme	ent or disfigurement:	\$ 1,250,000
	PLAIN	TIFF MARK BESS	
Question 13:	Did Plaintiff Mark I	Bess prove, by a prepone	derance of the evidence, battery
under Instruction No.	8?		
	Yes	No	

If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Bess, please proceed to questions 14 and 15. If you answer "No," your

verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Mark Bess' claim.

Question 14: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmative defense of self-defense under Instruction No. 14?
Yes No
Question 15: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmative defense of justification under Instruction No. 16?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question 14 or 15, your verdict is for the defendant and against the Plaintiff Mark Bess on his claims of battery, and you should skip to Question 17. If you answered "No" to Question 14 and 15, proceed to Question 16.
Question 16: What amount of compensatory damages, if any, does the jury award Plaintiff
Mark Bess for each of the following categories:
a. Economic Losses \$ 85,000
b. Non-Economic Losses: \$ 750,000
c. Permanent impairment or disfigurement: \$1
c. Permanent impairment or disfigurement: \$1
c. Permanent impairment or disfigurement: \$1  PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV  Question 17: Did Plaintiff Willis Small, IV prove, by a preponderance of the evidence,
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV  Question 17: Did Plaintiff Willis Small, IV prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, battery under Instruction No. 8?
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV  Question 17: Did Plaintiff Willis Small, IV prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Small, please proceed to questions 18 and 19. If you answer "No," your
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV  Question 17: Did Plaintiff Willis Small, IV prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Small, please proceed to questions 18 and 19. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Willis Small IV's claim.
PLAINTIFF WILLIS SMALL IV  Question 17: Did Plaintiff Willis Small, IV prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Small, please proceed to questions 18 and 19. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Willis Small IV's claim.  Question 18: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the

Question 19: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the
evidence, his affirmative defense of justification under Instruction No. 16?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Question 18 or 19, your verdict is for the defendant and against the Plaintiff Willis Small IV on his claims of battery, and you should skip to Question 21. If you answered "No" to Question 18 and 19, proceed to Question 20.
Question 20: What amount of compensatory damages, if any, does the jury award Plaintiff
Willis Small, IV for each of the following categories:
a. Economic Losses \$ 10,000
b. Non-Economic Losses: \$ 750,000
c. Permanent impairment or disfigurement: \$ 500,000
PLAINTIFF YEKALO WELDEHIWET
Question 21: Did Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet prove, by a preponderance of the evidence,
Question 21: Did Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, battery under Instruction No. 8?
battery under Instruction No. 8?
battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No,"
battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet's claim.
battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet's claim.  Question 22: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the
battery under Instruction No. 8?  Yes No  No  If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet's claim.  Question 22: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, his affirmative defense of self-defense under Instruction No. 14?
If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet's claim.  Question 22: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, his affirmative defense of self-defense under Instruction No. 14?  Yes No
If you answer "Yes" for Mr. Weldehiwet please proceed to questions 22 and 23. If you answer "No," your verdict is for Defendant on Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet's claim.  Question 22: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, his affirmative defense of self-defense under Instruction No. 14?  Yes No  Question 23: Did the defendant, Brandon Ramos, prove, by a preponderance of the

If you answered "Yes" to Question 22 or 23, your verdict is for the defendant and against the Plaintiff Yekalo Weldehiwet on his claims of battery, and you should skip to Question 25. If you answered "No" to Question 22 and 23, proceed to Question 24.

Question 24: What amount of compensatory damages, if any, does the jury award Plaintiff
Yekalo Weldehiwet for each of the following categories:

a. Economic Losses

125,000

b. Non-Economic Losses:

\$ 1,500,000

c. Permanent impairment or disfigurement: \$

\$ 1,500,000

## **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

Question 25: Did the Plaintiffs prove their claim for punitive damages against the defendant, Brandon Ramos, as set forth in Instruction No. 24?

Angelica Rey:

Yes \_

No

Ayla Bersagel:

Yes \_

No \_\_\_

Bailey Alexander:

Yes \_

No \_\_\_

Mark Bess:

Yes

No 🗸

Willis Small, IV:

Yes \_

No \_\_\_

Yekalo Weldehiwet:

Yes V

No \_\_\_

If you answer "Yes" for any Plaintiff, please proceed to Question No. 26 for those Plaintiffs only. If you answer "No" for any Plaintiff, do not enter a number for that Plaintiff in response to Question No. 26.

**Question 26:** What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award the Plaintiff from Defendant Ramos?

Angelica Rey:

\$ 1,500,000

Ayla Bersagel:

\$ 1,500,000

Bailey Alexander:

\$ 1,500,000

Mark Bess:

\$

Willis Small, IV:

\$ 1,500,000

Yekalo Weldehiwet:

\$ 1,500,000

## NONPARTY FAULT DETERMINATION

If you find that any plaintiff, did have injuries, damages, and/or losses, and you further find that the defendant, Brandon Ramos committed a willful and wanton battery and that he did not prove his affirmative defenses and the jury further finds that defendant, Brandon Ramos, was a cause of any of the plaintiff's injuries, damages, and/or losses, then answer the following questions:

Question 27:	Was the nonparty, Jor	dan Waddy, at fault	for plaintiffs' injuries?
	Yes	No	
Question 28:	Was the fault, if any,	of Jordan Waddy a c	ause of the plaintiffs' injuries?
	Yes	No _	

Question 29: Taking as 100 percent the combined fault of all parties and nonparties you find were a cause of any of the plaintiffs' injuries, damages, and/or losses, what percentage of fault, if any, was that of the defendant, Brandon Ramos, and the nonparty, Jordan Waddy?

You must enter the figure of zero, "0," for any party or individual you decide was not negligent or at fault or whose negligence or fault you decide was not a cause of any of the plaintiff's injuries, damages, and/or losses.

Percentage charged to Defendant Brandon Ramos	100 %
Percentage charged to Jordan Waddy	%
MUST TOTAL: 100%	100 %

